Disturbance in uniformly $^{13}$C-labelled DHA metabolism in elderly human subjects carrying the apoE $\epsilon 4$ allele
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Abstract

Carrying the apoE $\epsilon 4$ allele (E4+) is the most important genetic risk for Alzheimer’s disease. Unlike non-carriers (E4−), E4+ seem not to be protected against Alzheimer’s disease when consuming fish. We hypothesised that this may be linked to a disturbance in $n$-3 DHA metabolism in E4+. The aim of the present study was to evaluate $[13C]$DHA metabolism over 28 d in E4+ vs. E4−. A total of forty participants (twenty-six women and fourteen men) received a single oral dose of 40 mg $[13C]$DHA, and its metabolism was monitored in blood and breath over 28 d. Of the participants, six were E4+ and thirty-four were E4−. In E4+, mean plasma $[13C]$DHA was 31% lower than that in E4−, and cumulative β-oxidation of $[13C]$DHA was higher than that in E4− 1–28 d post-dose ($P<0.05$). A genotype × time interaction was detected for cumulative β-oxidation of $[13C]$DHA ($P<0.01$). The whole-body half-life of $[13C]$DHA was 77% lower in E4+ compared with E4− ($P<0.01$). In E4+ and E4−, the percentage dose of $[13C]$DHA recovered/h as $^{13}$CO$_2$ correlated with $[13C]$DHA concentration in plasma, but the slope of linear regression was 117% steeper in E4+ compared with E4− ($P<0.05$). These results indicate that DHA metabolism is disturbed in E4+, and may help explain why there is no association between DHA levels in plasma and cognition in E4+. However, whether E4+ disturbs the metabolism of $^{13}$C-labelled fatty acids other than DHA cannot be deduced from the present study.
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Regular consumption of fatty fish rich in $n$-3 PUFA such as DHA and EPA protects against CVD risk$^{(1,2)}$ and possibly against cognitive decline$^{(3–5)}$. Several epidemiological studies have suggested that higher $n$-3 PUFA concentrations in plasma or erythrocytes are associated with a lower risk of ageing-associated cognitive decline$^{(5–7)}$. However, carriers (E4+) of the apoE $\epsilon 4$ allele, the most important genetic risk factor for Alzheimer’s disease$^{(8–10)}$, seem not to be protected against cognitive decline by the consumption of fish$^{(11)}$. Furthermore, higher erythrocyte $n$-3 PUFA are not associated with better cognitive function in E4+.$^{(12)}$

DHA is a major structural component of brain membranes and is essential in neuronal development and repair, neurotransmission$^{(13)}$, cell signalling and anti-inflammatory processes$^{(14,15)}$. Synthesis of EPA and DHA from α-linolenic acid (ALA) is extremely limited in humans$^{(16)}$, so it is advantageous that preformed EPA and DHA be present in the diet. The concentration of DHA in plasma usually follows a logarithmic distribution with dietary DHA intake$^{(17,18)}$, but E4+ have a lower plasma response to $n$-3 PUFA supplementation compared with non-carriers of E4 (E4−)$^{(19)}$. Indeed, after receiving 3 g/d of EPA + DHA for 6 weeks, DHA concentration in plasma TAG increased by 75% in E4+, whereas in E4−, the increase was 240%$^{(19)}$. Thus, E4+ appear to have altered DHA metabolism when given an $n$-3 PUFA supplement.

Abbreviations: ALA, α-linolenic acid, APOE, apoE gene; E4+, apoE $\epsilon 4$ allele carriers; E4−, apoE $\epsilon 4$ non-carriers.
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There are two principal ways to assess DHA metabolism in human subjects: by perturbing plasma DHA with a DHA supplement or using isotopically labelled DHA. An oral dose of uniformly labelled carbon 13 \( [^{13}C] \) DHA is a precise and sensitive tool to evaluate the distribution of DHA in plasma and \( \beta \)-oxidation over time. \( [^{13}C] \) DHA metabolism in human subjects was first reported more than a decade ago\(^{21–23}\).

In one study, the authors gave a single oral dose between 250 and 280 mg \( [^{13}C] \) DHA in the form of a TAG to three healthy men\(^{22}\). \( [^{13}C] \) DHA levels reached a maximum 2 h post-dose in plasma TAG and the apparent retroconversion of \( [^{13}C] \) DHA to \( [^{13}C] \) EPA was estimated at 1-4% of the total plasma concentration of \( [^{13}C] \) DHA. Recently, we gave 50 mg \( [^{13}C] \) DHA in the form of a methyl ester to six young and six elderly participants\(^{20}\) and showed that 4 h after the \( [^{13}C] \) DHA intake, the elderly had a fourfold higher \( [^{13}C] \) DHA concentration in plasma total lipids compared with the young participants\(^{24}\).

Using \( [^{13}C] \) DHA, the objective of the present study was to evaluate whether DHA metabolism is different in E4+ v. E4−. We report the distribution of \( [^{13}C] \) DHA in plasma total lipids, its apparent retroconversion to EPA detected in plasma total lipids, the \( \beta \)-oxidation of \( [^{13}C] \) DHA recovered in breath in the form of \( ^{13}C \)O\(_2\), and plasma and the whole-body half-life of \( [^{13}C] \) DHA.

**Methods**

A total of forty participants over 50 years of age were recruited between January 2010 and April 2011 in the Eastern Townships of Quebec, Canada. All participants completed the thirty-item Montreal Cognitive Assessment test for baseline cognitive status\(^{25}\). Participants were all non-smokers, and free of dementia or diabetes. They did not have a diagnosis of cancer in the past 6 months, liver or renal disease, uncontrolled hyper- or hypothyroidism, autoimmune disorder, elevated markers of inflammation or low serum albumin. Anyone consuming n-3 PUFA capsules was excluded. The present study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki, and all procedures involving human participants were approved by the Human Ethics Research Committee of the Health and Social Sciences Center – Sherbrooke University Geriatrics Institute, which is the committee mandated to oversee human experimentation at our institution. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. The study is registered at [www.clinicaltrials.gov](http://www.clinicaltrials.gov) (NCT01577004).

**Tracer study design**

The \( [^{13}C] \) DHA used in the present study was uniformly labelled (≥98%) and of high chemical purity (99% pure). It was synthesised using micro-algae fed with \( [^{13}C] \) glulose. Each 40 mg dose of \( [^{13}C] \) DHA methyl ester was stored in an individual glass ampoule sealed under Ar\(^{20}\).

Participants arrived fasted on the morning of the metabolic study day. After collecting baseline blood and breath samples (see details below), the participants received a breakfast composed of two pieces of whole-wheat grain toast with peanut butter, one scrambled egg, one apple, 35 g mozzarella cheese and 250 ml orange juice. The macronutrient composition of this 2805 kJ breakfast was as follows: 25-5 g fat, 78 g carbohydrate and 29 g protein. The 40 mg dose of \( [^{13}C] \) DHA was added to a piece of toast. The breakfast was consumed by all participants within 15 min. At 4 h after tracer consumption, the participants were given a lunch composed of lasagne with 200 ml of V8 vegetable juice and a granola bar. The macronutrient composition of this 2093 kJ lunch was as follows: 15 g fat, 88 g carbohydrate and 23 g protein.

\( [^{13}C] \) DHA metabolism was monitored in blood and breath samples collected at baseline (0 h) and at 1, 2, 4, 6 and 8 h post-dose. The participants returned to the metabolic unit 1, 7, 14, 21 and 28 d post-dose for blood and breath sample collection. A 28 d follow-up was used since this is the typical amount of time needed for \( [^{13}C] \) DHA in plasma to return to baseline\(^{24}\). A catheter was installed in a forearm vein for the collection of blood samples on the first day; later, blood samples were collected using a 5 ml syringe (Becton Dickinson) and transferred into 4 ml EDTA tubes (Becton Dickinson). The tubes were centrifuged at 2300 \( g \) for 15 min at 4°C, and plasma was stored in three 0.5 ml Eppendorfs at −80°C until further analyses.

To assess the appearance of \( ^{13}C \)O\(_2\) coming from the \( \beta \)-oxidation of \( [^{13}C] \) DHA, participants breathed into a device consisting of a perforated plastic bag attached to a mouthpiece (EasySampler; QuinTron Instrument Company) to which an evacuated glass tube was inserted to collect a sample of the exhaled breath\(^{26,27}\).

**Analytical methods**

Total lipids were extracted from 0.25 ml of plasma using the method described by Folch et al.\(^{28}\). Heptadecanoate was added as an internal standard for quantification of fatty acids. To remove cholesterol, the total lipid extract was then saponified using 3 ml of 1 m K-H\(_2\)O–methanol and the mixture was heated at 90°C for 1 h. Transmethylation of the resulting NEFA into fatty acid methyl esters was performed using 14% boron trifluoride–methanol (Sigma-Aldrich). Fatty acid methyl esters were analysed using a gas chromatograph (model 6890; Agilent) equipped with a 50 m BPX-70 fused capillary column (SGE). Injection and flame ionisation detection were performed at 250°C with the following oven temperature programme: 50°C for 2 min, increased by 20°C/min to 170°C for 15 min and finally increased by 5°C/min to 210°C for 7 min. He gas was used as a carrier and the inlet pressure was 233 kPa at 50°C. The identity of individual fatty acids was determined using standard mixtures of fatty acids (NuChek 68A, NuChek 411 and NuChek 455; NuChek Prep, Inc.) and a custom mixture of SFA.

\( [^{13}C] \) DHA enrichment analysis in plasma total lipids was performed using GC–combustion–isotope ratio MS, as described previously\(^{29}\). \( ^{13}C/^{12}C \) post-dose was compared with baseline \( ^{13}C/^{12}C \) (pre-dose) to calculate the δ (per mil) values that were designated thereafter as atom per cent excess. Calculations of \( [^{13}C] \) DHA (nmol/ml) and \( [^{13}C] \) EPA (pmol/ml) from the atom
Enrichment of $^{13}$C in breath CO$_2$ after $^{13}$CDHA consumption was analysed by isotope ratio MS (ABCA, Sercon Limited), as described previously (27). He gas (Praxair) was used as a carrier and 5% CO$_2$/N$_2$ as the reference gas. The percentage dose of $^{13}$CDHA recovered in breath as $^{13}$CO$_2$ was calculated as described previously (28), except that basal metabolism was evaluated using indirect calorimetry (CCM/D; Medgraphics Corporation) to measure the volume of CO$_2$ and O$_2$ exhaled by the participants over 30 min (30). Cumulative $\beta$-oxidation of $^{13}$CDHA was calculated from the AUC of the percentage dose recovered at each time point (GraphPad Prism 5 software; GraphPad Software, Inc.).

$^{13}$CDHA half-life in plasma was calculated using RxKinetics online software (RxKinetics; www.rxkinetics.com). The values of two E4$^+$ participants were excluded because $^{13}$CDHA concentrations in plasma were not available for time points 14, 21 and 28 d. $^{13}$CDHA half-life in the whole body was estimated for each participant using cumulative $^{13}$CO$_2$ data. For three E4$^+$ and twenty-five E4$^-$ not reaching a cumulative $\beta$-oxidation of 50% 28 d post-dose, it was assumed from the cumulative $^{13}$CO$_2$ data of the other participants that beyond 28 d, the curve would be linear (see Fig. 1(d)). Therefore, using the cumulative $^{13}$CO$_2$ data at times 1, 7, 14, 21 and 28 d post-dose, a linear equation in the form of $y = mx + b$, where $m$ is the slope and $b$ is the $y$ value when $x = 0$, was calculated for each participant to estimate the time needed ($x$) to reach 50% ($y$) of cumulative $\beta$-oxidation of $^{13}$CDHA recovered as $^{13}$CO$_2$. As a result, five E4$^-$ were excluded because $\beta$-oxidation of $^{13}$CDHA recovered as $^{13}$CO$_2$ reached a plateau of <50% 7 d post-dose, so it was not possible to estimate the whole-body $^{13}$CDHA half-life. Correlations between $^{13}$CDHA concentration in plasma and the percentage dose of $^{13}$CDHA recovered as breath $^{13}$CO$_2$ were performed using all time points for all participants ($n$ 58 for E4$^+$ and $n$ 314 for E4$^-$). Baseline values of $^{13}$C in plasma DHA and the percentage of $^{13}$C in CO$_2$ were removed before the correlations between $^{13}$CDHA concentration in plasma and the percentage dose of $^{13}$CDHA recovered as breath $^{13}$CO$_2$ since these values were standardised at zero in our calculations. The slopes of the linear regression between $^{13}$CDHA concentration in plasma and the percentage dose of $^{13}$CDHA recovered as breath $^{13}$CO$_2$ was calculated and compared between E4$^+$ and E4$^-$. ApoE genotyping

DNA of the participants was extracted from 200 μl of whole blood (QiAmp DNA Blood Mini Kit; Qiagen). The DNA fragment containing the apoE gene (APOE) sequence was amplified by PCR (Perkin Elmer GeneAmp PCR System 2400; Perkin Elmer) using the oligonucleotide primers F6 (5'-TAAGCTTGGCACGGC-TGTCACGGA-3') and F4 (5'-ACAGAATTCGCCGCTGCT-ACAC-3'), as described previously (31). After amplification, the DNA fragment was digested using HhaI (New England Biolabs Ltd) in order to reveal differential digestion patterns related to the APOE genotype. DNA fragments were then loaded on a 20% polyacrylamide gel for migration at 220 V for 3 h and the fragments were revealed using ethidium bromide (32).

![Fig. 1](https://www.cambridge.org/core)
Data expression and statistics

Sample size was based on a calculation that the maximum concentration of $^{13}$C-DHA in plasma total lipids that would be reached in the postprandial period would be 0.9 nmol/ml (25). From our previous study (26), we estimated that a twofold difference in plasma $^{13}$C-DHA concentration would be observed between E4+ and E4− in the postprandial period (27). Therefore, the sample size required to detect this difference using a 5% significance level and a power of 80% was six subjects per group (28). We based our sample size calculation only on plasma $^{13}$C-DHA concentration because DHA in plasma is one of the best documented biomarkers of the difference between E4+ and E4− (29). Moreover, since pre-screening for E4 is not permitted at our institution, we therefore enrolled forty participants to recruit at least six E4+ on the assumption that E4+ frequency is approximately 15–25% (30) in the general population, homozygous and homozygous E4 combined. Data are shown as means with their standard errors.

Statistics in Fig. 1 were performed using the PROC MIXED procedure implemented in SAS since some participants had missing data (SAS 9.2, SAS Institute) (31). This procedure was used instead of a classical two-way ANOVA to optimise the use of all data over time and maintain statistical power. The PROC MIXED procedure allows testing for the effect of time as a repeated measure, genotype as a fixed factor (E4+) and the interaction genotype × time. Student’s $t$ tests were performed on Fig. 2, Table 1 and Table 2 to detect significant differences between E4+ and E4− (SPSS 17.0, SPSS, Inc.). The correlation coefficient ($R$) of the correlations between plasma $^{13}$C-DHA and $^{13}$C-DHA recovered/h as breath $^{13}$CO$_2$ was performed using the bivariate correlation program in SPSS (Fig. 3). To account for potential confounding factors, correlation analyses were performed between $^{13}$C-DHA recovered/h as breath $^{13}$CO$_2$ and baseline characteristics such as age, sex, cholesterol levels, glucose levels and medications. Statistically significant correlations were included in a multiple linear regression model. The slopes ($β$) of the linear regression between plasma $^{13}$C-DHA and $^{13}$C-DHA recovered/h as $^{13}$CO$_2$ were compared between E4+ and E4−. For cumulative $β$-oxidation of $^{13}$C-DHA recovered as $^{13}$CO$_2$ between E4+ and E4−, a simple linear regression model was used to compare the slope ($m$) between 1 d and 28 d post-dose. Statistical significance was set at $P=0.05$.

Results

In the present study, six participants were E4+ (five E3/E4 and one E2/E4, two men and four women) and thirty-four were E4− (twenty-eight E3/E3 and six E2/E3, twelve men and twenty-two women). In E4+, the mean age was 68.0 (SEM 3.3) years, whereas it was 72.4 (SEM 1.5) years in E4− (NS; Table 1). There was no difference in baseline characteristics between E4+ and E4− (Table 1) and between men and women (data not shown). The participants’ score on the thirty-item Montreal Cognitive Assessment test was 26.1 (SEM 0.5) (maximum score of 30), indicating that they were cognitively healthy at baseline (22). In E4+ at baseline, the mean plasma DHA concentration was 73 (SEM 9) mg/l, which was equivalent to 1.9 (SEM 0.4) % of plasma total fatty acids, whereas in E4−, DHA concentration was 60 (SEM 4) mg/l, which was equivalent to 1.6 (SEM 0.1) % of plasma total fatty acids (Table 2). There was no difference in fasting plasma fatty acid compositions between E4+ and E4− (Table 2).

$^{13}$C-DHA metabolism in apoE e4 allele carriers v. apoE e4 non-carriers

The PROC MIXED procedure detected no genotype × time interaction with plasma $^{13}$C-DHA (Fig. 1(a)). Nevertheless, a genotype effect was detected for plasma $^{13}$C-DHA, such that in E4+, $^{13}$C-DHA in plasma total lipids from 1 h to 28 d post-dose was 31% lower compared with E4− (mean 0.66 (SEM 0.14) nmol/ml in E4+ v. 0.96 (SEM 0.11) nmol/ml in E4−, $P=0.04$; Fig. 1(a)). In both groups, $^{13}$C-DHA peaked in plasma total lipids 6 h after tracer intake; in E4+, the maximum value of $^{13}$C-DHA was 1.5 (SEM 0.5) nmol/ml, whereas it was 2.0 (SEM 0.2) nmol/ml in E4− (NS; Fig. 1(a)).

The apparent retroconversion of $^{13}$C-DHA into $^{13}$C-EPA peaked 1 d post-dose, but was not different between E4+ and E4− and no genotype × time interaction was detected for plasma $^{13}$C-EPA concentration (Fig. 1(b)). In E4+, $^{13}$C-EPA concentration in plasma total lipids reached a maximum of 17.4 (SEM 3.1) pmol/ml, representing 1.2% of the peak plasma $^{13}$C-DHA concentration, whereas in E4−, $^{13}$C-EPA concentration in plasma total lipids reached a maximum of 14.4 (SEM 2.4) pmol/ml, representing 0.7% of the peak $^{13}$C-DHA concentration (NS; Fig. 1(b)).

The percentage dose of $^{13}$C-DHA recovered/h as $^{13}$CO$_2$ did not differ at any time point between E4+ and E4− over

![Fig. 2. $^{13}$C-DHA half-life in (a) plasma and (b) in the whole body in apoE e4 carriers (E4+, $\Delta$, n 6) and non-carriers (E4−, $\bullet$, n 32 for (a) and n 29 for (b)). Values are means, with their standard errors represented by vertical bars. * Mean value was significantly different compared with E4− ($P=0.05$).](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114513001268)
The $^{13}$C-DHA half-life in plasma was 4·6 ($\text{SEM 0·8}$) d in E4+ and 4·5 ($\text{SEM 0·4}$) d in E4− (NS; Fig. 2(a)). The whole-body $^{13}$C-DHA half-life was heterogeneous and was 77% lower in E4+ compared with E4− (32 ($\text{SEM 8}$) d in E4+; 140 ($\text{SEM 28}$) d in E4−, $P=0·001$; Fig. 2(b)). In two E4+, the mean whole-body $^{13}$C-DHA half-life was 53 d, whereas in four other carriers, it was 21 d (Fig. 2(b)). In eleven E4−, the whole-body $^{13}$C-DHA half-life was >200 d, whereas in fourteen other E4−, it was <50 d and in four E4−, it was between 50 and 200 d (Fig. 2(b)).

The concentration in plasma, and the percentage dose of $^{13}$C-DHA recovered/h as $^{13}$CO$_2$ in plasma ($R=0·56$ in E4+ and $R=0·39$ in E4−, $P=0·001$ for both; Fig. 3). The percentage dose of $^{13}$C-DHA recovered/h as $^{13}$CO$_2$ also correlated with the levels of LDL-cholesterol and was associated with the use of a statin, so these potential confounding factors were included in the multivariate linear regression model. There was a positive interaction between genotype and linear regression of the percentage dose of $^{13}$C-DHA recovered/h as $^{13}$CO$_2$ ($\beta$) with $^{13}$C-DHA concentration in plasma ($\alpha$), and this interaction remained significant when accounting for confounding factors ($P<0·001$; Fig. 3). The slope of the linear regression ($\beta$) was 117% steeper in E4+ compared with E4− ($\beta=0·13$ ($\text{SEM 0·03}$) in E4+; $\beta=0·06$ ($\text{SEM 0·01}$) in E4−, $P<0·001$).

**Discussion**

These results demonstrate that $^{13}$C-DHA metabolism is disturbed in E4+ compared with E4− since E4+ had a 31% lower mean concentration of $^{13}$C-DHA in plasma total lipids over time, but increased $\beta$-oxidation between 1 and 28 d post-dose. This difference may be due, at least in part, to the key role of

**Table 1.** Baseline characteristics of apoE $\varepsilon 4$ allele carriers (E4+) and apoE $\varepsilon 4$ non-carriers (E4−) (Mean values with their standard errors)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>E4+ (n 6)</th>
<th>E4− (n 34)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>68·0 3·3</td>
<td>72·4 1·5</td>
<td>0·35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (n)</td>
<td>Male 2 12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female 4 22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m$^2$)</td>
<td>29·3 2·3</td>
<td>27·2 0·7</td>
<td>0·57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1Ac Hb (%)</td>
<td>6·0 0·2</td>
<td>5·8 0·1</td>
<td>0·21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mmol/l)</td>
<td>5·1 0·4</td>
<td>4·7 0·1</td>
<td>0·72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSH (mIU/l)</td>
<td>1·9 0·2</td>
<td>1·9 0·2</td>
<td>0·25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>4·9 0·4</td>
<td>4·9 0·1</td>
<td>0·82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1·3 0·2</td>
<td>1·4 0·1</td>
<td>0·57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>2·6 0·5</td>
<td>2·8 0·1</td>
<td>0·42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP (mg/l)</td>
<td>3·1 1·6</td>
<td>3·6 0·7</td>
<td>0·40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAG (mmol/l)</td>
<td>2·2 0·8</td>
<td>1·4 0·1</td>
<td>0·90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoCA test score*</td>
<td>25·3 1·1</td>
<td>26·2 0·5</td>
<td>0·22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** Fatty acid concentration (mg/l) and percentage in plasma total lipids of apoE $\varepsilon 4$ allele carriers (E4+) (n 6) and apoE $\varepsilon 4$ non-carriers (E4−) (n 34) at baseline (Mean values with their standard errors)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>E4+</th>
<th>E4−</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concentrations (mg/l)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatty acids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:0</td>
<td>45·0</td>
<td>9·0</td>
<td>41·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:0</td>
<td>1025·0</td>
<td>167·0</td>
<td>833·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:1n-7</td>
<td>123·0</td>
<td>37·0</td>
<td>95·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:0</td>
<td>286·0</td>
<td>34·0</td>
<td>246·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:1n-9</td>
<td>1130·0</td>
<td>231·0</td>
<td>824·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:1n-7</td>
<td>84·0</td>
<td>19·0</td>
<td>61·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:2n-6</td>
<td>1113·0</td>
<td>43·0</td>
<td>1081·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20:3n-6</td>
<td>64·0</td>
<td>11·0</td>
<td>54·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20:4n-6</td>
<td>282·0</td>
<td>21·0</td>
<td>254·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:3n-3</td>
<td>36·0</td>
<td>4·0</td>
<td>34·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20:5n-3</td>
<td>36·0</td>
<td>6·0</td>
<td>35·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22:5n-3</td>
<td>20·0</td>
<td>2·0</td>
<td>21·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22:6n-3</td>
<td>73·0</td>
<td>9·0</td>
<td>60·0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Correlation between $^{13}$C-DHA concentration in plasma and the percentage dose of $^{13}$C-DHA recovered/h as $^{13}$CO$_2$**

The $^{13}$C-DHA half-life in plasma was 4·6 ($\text{SEM 0·8}$) d in E4+ and 4·5 ($\text{SEM 0·4}$) d in E4− (NS; Fig. 2(a)). The whole-body $^{13}$C-DHA half-life was heterogeneous and was 77% lower in E4+ compared with E4− (32 ($\text{SEM 8}$) d in E4+; 140 ($\text{SEM 28}$) d in E4−, $P=0·001$; Fig. 2(b)). In two E4+, the mean whole-body $^{13}$C-DHA half-life was 53 d, whereas in four other carriers, it was 21 d (Fig. 2(b)). In eleven E4−, the whole-body $^{13}$C-DHA half-life was >200 d, whereas in fourteen other E4−, it was <50 d and in four E4−, it was between 50 and 200 d (Fig. 2(b)).
apoE in postprandial plasma lipoprotein and lipid metabolism. ApoE has a high affinity for the LDL receptor that is involved in lipoprotein clearance from the plasma, notably chylomicron remnants and VLDL. E4 have a lower concentration of apoE protein in plasma, but with proportionally more apoE in VLDL and less in HDL compared with homozygous carriers of apoE 4. Therefore, clearance of VLDL in E4 is potentially more rapid since this process relies partly on the binding of apoE protein with the LDL receptor. Fatty acids travel in the blood mostly via lipoproteins, so a more rapid VLDL turnover potentially enhances [13C]DHA clearance from the plasma, thereby supporting the present observation of lower plasma [13C]DHA concentrations in E4. Moreover, [13C]DHA was ingested in the form of a methyl ester. Hence, it is possible that the observed differences in [13C]DHA concentrations between E4+ and E4− might be partly due to a difference in the cleavage capacity of this form of DHA in E4+, although, to our knowledge, no study has evaluated this question.

Several studies have shown that E4+ have higher plasma TAG than E4− in the postprandial state, and that there is an age x APOE genotype interaction with regard to TAG metabolism after an oral fat load. Postprandially, E4+ over 50 years old had a higher AUC for plasma TAG concentrations compared with E4−, whereas this difference was absent between younger E4+ and E4−. In the present study, all participants were aged >50 years old, so we would anticipate a higher DHA concentration in the postprandial state because of higher postprandial TAG levels in E4+. However, there was no difference between E4+ and E4− in total postprandial DHA expressed either in mg/1 or in relative percentage to other fatty acids. Nevertheless, TAG levels during the postprandial state were not evaluated in the present study, so we cannot confirm the results obtained by Carvalho-Wells et al. with regard to TAG concentrations in the postprandial state between E4+ and E4−.

We report here for the first time the β-oxidation of [13C]DHA in E4+ and E4−. E4+ had higher cumulative β-oxidation of [13C]DHA than E4− between 1 and 28 d post-dose (Fig. 1(d)). The estimated slope (m) of the cumulative β-oxidation of [13C]DHA between 1 and 28 d post-dose was 80% steeper in E4+ than in E4− (Fig. 1(d)), suggesting a higher rate of the β-oxidation of [13C]DHA in E4+ compared with E4−. This result could help explain the lower plasma [13C]DHA in E4+ compared with E4−. The cumulative β-oxidation of [13C]DHA 24 h post-dose was 6% in E4+ and 5% in E4− (NS; Fig. 1(d)), which was nearly 75% lower compared with other common dietary fatty acids such as oleic acid (29%), linoleic acid (21%) or ALA (31%). This suggests that in humans habitually consuming low levels of DHA, DHA is efficiently conserved, probably because of its structural importance in cell membranes and as a precursor to signalling molecules derived from DHA, notably resolvins and protectins.

Plasma [13C]DHA correlated with the percentage dose of [13C]DHA recovered/h as [13CO2] in both E4+ and E4−, but the slope (β) of this relationship was 17% steeper in E4+ than in E4− (P<0.001; Fig. 3). Thus, for a given plasma concentration of [13C]DHA, [13CO2] was higher in E4+ than in E4−, showing more rapid β-oxidation of DHA. This difference in retention of oxidation of DHA in E4+ is consistent with our previous report that the increase in plasma DHA after supplementing with EPA + DHA was lower in E4+ than in E4−. β-Oxidation of DHA is thought to be mainly conducted in peroxisomes, but the relative contribution of peroxisomal v. mitochondrial β-oxidation to the whole-body production of [13CO2] from [13C]DHA in humans is unknown. To the best of our knowledge, there are currently no available data supporting a potential role of APOE4 polymorphism on the expression and/or activity of these peroxisomal enzymes β-oxidising DHA. A recent review by Lizard et al. has suggested the potential dysfunction of peroxisomal metabolism in patients with Alzheimer’s disease. Since E4+ are more at risk to develop Alzheimer’s disease, the present results showing more β-oxidation of [13C]DHA needs further investigation since the APOE genotype may potentially affect Alzheimer’s disease risk by affecting the molecular mechanism involved in fatty acid β-oxidation.

The present study is also the first to estimate plasma and whole-body half-lives of [13C]DHA. In previous studies, calculation of [13C]DHA half-life was not possible since a follow-up of <72 h did not provide enough time for plasma [13C]DHA to return to baseline. In our previous study, β-oxidation of [13C]DHA was monitored over 7 d post-dose and gave a rough estimate of the [13C]DHA whole-body half-life of about 10 d, which is 66% less than our current estimate for E4+ and 90% less for E4− (Fig. 2). However, in the present study, β-oxidation of [13C]DHA was followed over 28 d and the number of participants was higher than previously, thus permitting a more accurate estimate of the whole-body half-life of [13C]DHA. We estimated that the whole-body half-life of [13C]DHA was approximately 25 d more than its plasma half-life in E4+ and >100 d more than its plasma half-life in E4−. Moreover, the whole-body half-life...
of \[^{13}\text{C}]\text{DHA}\) was 77% lower in E4+ compared with E4−, corroborating higher \(\beta\)-oxidation of \[^{13}\text{C}]\text{DHA}\) in E4+. Since the whole-body half-life values of \[^{13}\text{C}]\text{DHA}\) were highly heterogeneous in the E4− group, we investigated potential factors besides the APOE genotype that could be associated with a higher or lower whole-body half-life. No association was found between the whole-body half-life of \[^{13}\text{C}]\text{DHA}\) and age, sex, baseline TAG levels, BMI, baseline DHA or EPA status and medications (data not shown).

The apparent retroconversion of \[^{13}\text{C}]\text{DHA}\) into \[^{13}\text{C}]\text{EPA}\) was 1.2%, which is similar to the level reported previously\(^2,22,24\). These results suggest that most of the \[^{13}\text{C}]\text{DHA}\) remain in its native form in human subjects, whereas E4+ tend to have an overall higher \(\beta\)-oxidation of \[^{13}\text{C}]\text{DHA}\) without producing more \[^{13}\text{C}]\text{EPA}\) compared with E4−.

A potential confounding factor worth considering in the present study was whether sex disturbed DHA metabolism, as suggested by other studies\(^48–52\) since the results presented here involved men and women pooled together. Previous studies have shown that women tend to have higher DHA and EPA in plasma total lipids compared with men\(^49\), and this is potentially because of a higher conversion of ALA to EPA and DHA in women\(^49,51,53\) compared with men\(^49\). Moreover, using \[^{13}\text{C}]\text{ALA}\), a study has reported higher \(\beta\)-oxidation in men compared with women, which was associated with higher \(^{13}\text{C}\) enrichment in saturated acids and monounsaturated acids, suggesting a preferential pathway towards ALA degradation in men\(^52\). These sex-specific differences in n-3 PUFA metabolism seem to be in part explained by higher estrogens in pre-menopausal women\(^50\). In the present study, there was no difference in plasma \[^{13}\text{C}]\text{DHA}\), plasma DHA or \[^{13}\text{C}]\text{DHA}\) half-lives in the whole body or plasma between men and women (data not shown). This is probably because our participants were approximately 71 years old and all the women were postmenopausal. The drop in estrogen levels following menopause\(^55\) probably contributed to the lack of sex-specific differences in \[^{13}\text{C}]\text{DHA}\) metabolism in the present study.

The present study had limitations. The number of E4+ was small when compared with E4−, but baseline characteristics were similar between the two groups (Table 1). Moreover, sample size calculation indicated that six participants should be enough to detect a significant difference in \[^{13}\text{C}]\text{DHA}\) metabolism. Pre-screening for E4+ is not permitted at our institution, so the only alternative for recruiting E4+ participants is to run the trial and perform APOE genotyping afterwards. There was no difference in cholesterol levels between E4+ and E4−, even though other studies have suggested otherwise\(^56–58\), but our participants were elderly and three E4+ and fourteen E4− were on statins during the study. No difference in \[^{13}\text{C}]\text{DHA}\) appearance in plasma and \(\beta\)-oxidation was observed when comparing the participants taking statins or not (data not shown). Another limitation of the present study was that \[^{13}\text{C}]\text{DHA}\) was the only fatty acid tracer used to follow precisely its metabolism. Hence, whether E4+ disturbs the metabolism of fatty acids other than DHA cannot be deduced from the present study and will need further work with \[^{13}\text{C}\text{-labelled fatty acids other than DHA.}}

Conclusion

Compared with E4−, E4+ had lower mean plasma \[^{13}\text{C}]\text{DHA}\) between 1 h and 28 d post-dose, whereas \(\beta\)-oxidation of \[^{13}\text{C}]\text{DHA}\) was higher between 1 and 28 d post-dose. For a similar level of \[^{13}\text{C}]\text{DHA}\) in plasma, E4+ had higher \(^{13}\text{C}\)O\(_2\) in breath and a lower whole-body half-life of \[^{13}\text{C}]\text{DHA}\) compared with E4−, suggesting higher \[^{13}\text{C}]\text{DHA}\) catabolism in E4+. Nevertheless, plasma \[^{13}\text{C}]\text{DHA}\) half-life was similar between E4+ and E4−. Therefore, there seems to be no clear relationship between plasma half-life and the kinetics of \[^{13}\text{C}]\text{DHA}\) metabolism. Given that DHA is important for cardiovascular and brain health, disturbance in \[^{13}\text{C}]\text{DHA}\) metabolism in E4+ may increase their vulnerability to cognitive decline or other diseases. These results may help explain why no association between plasma DHA and cognition has been observed in E4+. Further studies evaluating \[^{13}\text{C}]\text{DHA}\) metabolism after a DHA supplement are needed to evaluate whether a high dose of EPA + DHA could return DHA homeostasis in E4+ towards normal.
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