

1 **Aging across the tree of life: the importance of a comparative perspective for**
2 **the use of animal models in aging**

3

4 Alan A. Cohen^{a*}

5

6 ^a Groupe de recherche PRIMUS, Department of Family Medicine, University of Sherbrooke,
7 3001 12e Ave N, Sherbrooke, QC, J1H 5N4, Canada.

8

9 * Correspondence to: Alan.Cohen@USherbrooke.ca.

10

11 **Highlights**

- 12 • Many animals do not age, and physiological mechanisms of aging vary across species
- 13 • Nonetheless, conserved aging-related signalling pathways exist
- 14 • Aging is thus highly multi-factorial, complex, and particular to each species
- 15 • Animal models should include both traditional and non-traditional species
- 16 • Results should be interpreted cautiously and within a broad comparative perspective

17

18

19 **Abstract**

20 Use of model organisms in aging research is problematic because our ability to extrapolate
21 across the tree of life is not clear. On one hand, there are conserved pathways that regulate
22 lifespan in organisms including yeast, nematodes, fruit flies, and mice. On the other, many
23 intermediate taxa across the tree of life appear not to age at all, and there is substantial variation
24 in aging mechanisms and patterns, sometimes even between closely related species. There are
25 good evolutionary and mechanistic reasons to expect this complexity, but it means that model
26 organisms must be used with caution and that results must always be interpreted through a
27 broader comparative framework. Additionally, it is essential to include research on non-
28 traditional and unusual species, and to integrate mechanistic and demographic research. There
29 will be no simple answers regarding the biology of aging, and research approaches should reflect
30 this.

31

32 **Keywords**

33 Aging, comparative biology, complexity, disposable soma, diversity, model organism

34 **1. Introduction**

35 This special issue is devoted to animal models of aging. The term “animal model” implies that
36 the final objective is not in fact to understand the species studied for its own sake, but to be able
37 to draw some general conclusions with respect to human aging. Paradoxically, this generates the
38 need for a comparative/ evolutionary perspective in order to identify which animals might have
39 something important to teach us about ourselves. In most cases, this is relatively simple. For
40 example, basic cellular respiration is relatively similar across eukaryotes, so any simple, easy-to-
41 work-with organism such as yeast may serve as a good model [1]. The immune system differs
42 substantially between mammals and other vertebrates, implying that for most questions only
43 mammalian immune models are likely to be pertinent. And for questions such as complex social
44 behavior, the list of potential models might be limited to gregarious primates, carnivores, and
45 cetaceans.

46 However, in the case of aging, things are not so simple. First, there is no real consensus about
47 what aging is or how to define it [2, 3], though there is increasing agreement that it is at least
48 multi-factorial [4, 5], and potentially an emergent property of a formally complex system [6, 7].
49 Second, there is conflicting evidence about the extent to which aging is a process that is similar
50 across all organisms or particular to each species [3, 8]. Third, there is reason to expect that
51 aging is systematically different in long-lived and short-lived species, such that in some cases
52 long-lived birds might be better models for human aging than mice (*Mus musculus*), despite the
53 much closer phylogenetic relationship between humans and mice [9, 10]. This obviously presents
54 a challenge for the long-term follow-up of the aging process within individuals. Fourth, there are
55 a wide variety of questions that can be asked about aging, requiring different models.

56 The goal of this article is to take the view from on high, asking what we know about aging
57 across the entire tree of life and searching for both commonalities and particularities that would
58 point to what organisms are good models for what questions. In some cases, this perspective can
59 be used to identify model organisms that may have unique strategies to combat aging, from
60 which we might learn. In other cases, it is the broad patterns themselves that generate insight into
61 what aging is. More than perhaps any other field in basic biology, a comprehensive
62 understanding of aging will require a multi-faceted back-and-forth between findings in specific
63 model organisms, findings in non-model organisms, a broad comparative perspective,
64 evolutionary theory, and basic principles of biology and physiology.

65

66 **2. Key concepts to understand the diversity of aging**

67 The diversity of life forms on our planet is so astounding that the familiar concept of aging we
68 know as humans cannot be directly transferred to many other species. Here I introduce several
69 concepts that are important to understand that diversity and how we define aging.

70

71 *2.1 The germ line-soma distinction*

72 In humans, other vertebrates, and a wide variety of organisms, not all cells have the potential to
73 contribute genetic material to the next generation. The cells that do are called the germ line,
74 while those that do not are called the soma. This is analogous to the situation in eusocial insects,
75 where only the queen and the males reproduce, and the workers serve no function except to aid
76 the reproduction of the queen. August Weismann [11] first pointed out the germ line-soma
77 distinction and noted that this implied that aging should occur only in the soma (otherwise aging
78 would occur across generations and life itself would end). This was further developed by

79 Kirkwood [4, 12] into the Disposable Soma theory of aging, which posits that the soma sacrifices
80 itself to transmit the germ line, and that constraints on the acquisition and use of energy and
81 other resources would force the soma to trade off between reproduction and maintenance (i.e.,
82 survival).

83 However, not all organisms have a distinct germ line and soma. Unicellular organisms
84 (bacteria, protists, yeasts, etc.) obviously do not. Fungi, and many marine/aquatic invertebrates
85 (including, starfish, hydra, and jellyfish) also do not: they appear to be able to revert apparent
86 somatic cells to a germ line state [13]. Plants were long thought to lack a distinct soma as well,
87 but this is somewhat less clear in light of recent findings suggesting that both long-lived and
88 short-lived plants have similar numbers of cell-divisions before producing germ cells [14].
89 Species without a distinct soma are not necessarily predicted to age [4], and it thus may be
90 crucial to understand if aging patterns differ systematically between organisms with and without
91 the germ line-soma distinction [15, 16]. Note, however, that an implicit assumption of the
92 importance of the germ line-soma distinction is that aging primarily a cellular phenomenon that
93 scales up to the individual level. If aging is driven primarily by factors at higher organisational
94 levels (tissues, organs, organism), the germ line-soma distinction could be less important or even
95 irrelevant. While the implicit assumption in much of the aging literature is that aging is primarily
96 cellular, there is evidence for both cellular and higher-order processes (e.g. systemic
97 dysregulation, wing damage in insects) [6, 17, 18], and no good evidence as to their relative
98 contributions.

99

100 *2.2 Asymmetrical division and budding*

101 Many organisms lacking a germ line-soma distinction reproduce by splitting one organism into
102 two (asexual reproduction), rather than by creating a specific seed or egg (usually sexual
103 reproduction). Again, unicellular organisms do this, but also many invertebrates and plants. For
104 example, the hydra, a small freshwater cnidarian, reproduces by budding, a process in which a
105 small mass of cells separates from the body stem and grows into a separate individual [19]. In
106 most of these cases, the division of the individual is not perfectly symmetrical: it is possible to
107 identify a “mother” cell or individual, and a “daughter” cell or individual. This is true even in
108 unicellular organisms such as bacteria and yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) [4, 20, 21].
109 Accordingly, it is possible to consider aging in such species as long as it is possible to identify
110 and follow the mother across multiple division/budding events [20].

111

112 *2.3 Ramets and genets*

113 In organisms such as humans that reproduce only sexually, each individual has a unique
114 genotype and is easy to identify. However, in the case of asexual reproduction, many apparent
115 individuals can share the same genotype. With the exception of unicellular organisms, most
116 species that can reproduce asexually also reproduce sexually. This means that certain aggregates
117 of individuals will be descended asexually from a single, sexually-produced progenitor and will
118 share their genotype [19, 22-24]. Each physically separate organism is called a ramet, and the
119 collection of ramets sharing a genotype is called a genet. Examples include ascidians, hydra,
120 corals, and a great diversity of plants, including entire stands of aspen (*Populus tremuloides*) [25].
121 Questions of aging in species with both ramets and genets can be particularly tricky because the
122 genet may be essentially immortal once enough ramets are produced, and yet ramets might age in

123 a programmed fashion that increases the fitness of the genet, particularly in structured colonies
124 [22].

125

126 **3. What is aging, and how does it vary across the tree of life?**

127 *3.1 Demographic aging: Measurement*

128 From a demographic perspective, aging can be defined as an inexorable increase in mortality
129 and/or decrease in fertility with age. Usually, the focus of research is on mortality, though
130 reproductive aging is of particular interest in humans with our long female post-reproductive
131 lifespan [26, 27]. Note that this definition decouples aging from lifespan: some organisms with
132 very high extrinsic mortality due to predation, etc. appear not to age at all (i.e., mortality is
133 unchanged with age, such as in hydra [19]), whereas some very long-lived organisms such as
134 humans show clear aging (i.e., the probability of death increases steadily with age). A note on
135 terminology: some authors refer to this aging-lifespan distinction as the shape vs. the pace of
136 aging [28] or as senescence (decline) vs. aging (progression of time) [3].

137 There are limits to a purely demographic perspective, particularly when we wish to apply
138 comparative findings to humans. Demographic patterns may or may not clearly reflect the kind
139 of physiological deterioration we tend to associate with the term “aging.” In plants in particular,
140 there is now a clear understanding that size is often more important than age in determining
141 mortality risk [29-31], such that demographic patterns of aging (or lack thereof) may not be
142 driven at all by physiological processes of interest from a human perspective. Species with
143 indeterminate growth and/or increasing reproduction with size might be expected to show
144 different aging patterns [32], despite Hamilton’s original predictions [33]. Likewise,
145 demographic patterns can depend strongly on environmental conditions. For example, in the

146 hydra, *Hydra vulgaris* appears to show no aging under standard laboratory conditions, whereas *H.*
147 *oligactis* can show aging depending on the precise temperature [34]. More broadly, the
148 distinction between intrinsic and extrinsic causes of mortality is falling into disfavor as we begin
149 to understand the importance of internal conditions for determining susceptibility to external
150 causes [35, 36]. This implies that both rates and patterns of demographic aging are highly
151 dependent on environmental conditions that may affect not just mortality rates, but how mortality
152 affects different age classes [36]. Between such environmental influences and methodological
153 questions, it is possible to observe both aging and its apparent absence in different populations of
154 the same species. For example, painted turtles (*Chrysemys picta*) were long thought to be a good
155 example of a species that showed no apparent aging [37]; however, a recent study in a much
156 shorter-lived population appears to show aging [38], and it is not clear whether this reflects a
157 genuine difference between the populations (which would be surprising), a data artefact, or a
158 methodological issue in one or the other study.

159 Despite these caveats and the challenges of acquiring detailed demographic data for a wide
160 array of taxa, demographic data on aging is currently the most promising path for broad
161 comparisons across the tree of life. Demographic patterns can be standardized and compared
162 across taxa relatively easily, regardless of how complex or varied the underlying mechanisms
163 [28]. (Note that the problem of defining the unit in clonal species is present both for
164 demographic and mechanistic studies.) Because of this potential to standardize, a major effort
165 has been invested in the compilation of these data (outlined below; [39-41]). Additionally, there
166 is an increasing body of theoretical work on the comparative demography of aging. This work
167 shows (a) that we should expect a wide array of patterns, including both absence of aging and
168 “negative senescence” (declining mortality with age) [32, 42, 43]; (b) that it is possible to

169 distinguish the pace of aging (i.e., lifespan) from the shape of aging (how abruptly aging sets in
170 toward the end of the lifespan) [28, 44]; and (c) that understanding aging mechanisms may be
171 critical to understanding variation in aging demography [6, 15, 43, 45]. Thus, while there are
172 certain challenges to the demographic comparative study of aging, these challenges are dwarfed
173 by the challenges related to the comparative physiology of aging.

174

175 *3.2 Demographic aging: Patterns*

176 Perhaps the simplest measure of demographic aging is maximum observed lifespan (Table 1).
177 This measure conflates aging and lifespan and pace vs. shape, as noted above, with the potential
178 for short-lived species not to age and long-lived species to age quickly relative to their lifespan.
179 Nonetheless, exceptionally long-lived species are doing something quite differently from many
180 other species, regardless of whether they can be formally shown not to age, and maximum
181 observed lifespan is available for a wide array of organisms, many of which (particularly
182 vertebrates) are compiled in the AnAge database [46]. The taxonomic bias on the availability of
183 data makes strong inferences problematic; nonetheless, a quick perusal of the longest-lived
184 species is striking for the repetition of closely related taxa: of the 42 vertebrates with 80+ year
185 lifespans, 31 are whales, turtles, rockfish, sturgeon, or oreo fish.

186 More sophisticated analyses including both shape and pace have confirmed the importance of
187 slow, negligible, and negative aging [44]. One of the most striking findings in recent years is that
188 demographic aging appears to be far from universal [3, 39]. The compilation of data for large
189 numbers of species now allows us to see for the first time that non-aging species are not simply
190 rare, weird exceptions, but are rather common across the tree of life (Fig. 1). Some turtles, fish,
191 trees, and marine invertebrates were long suspected not to show aging [47], but it is now possible

192 to see that a large fraction of the tree of life does not appear to show aging. So far, all mammals
193 and birds appear to age [48, 49]; perhaps it is our bias as mammals that led us to consider this the
194 default state of most organisms. Across the rest of the tree, examples of aging and non-aging
195 species are often closely interspersed, with even closely related taxa showing differing patterns.
196 Some plants age, others do not [16, 24, 39]. Some arthropods age, others do not [39]. Some
197 reptiles and amphibians age, others do not [39]. Some fish age, others do not [46, 50]. Some
198 fungi age, others do not [23]. Some organisms with distinct somas age, others do not [39]. Some
199 organisms without distinct somas age [16], others do not [19]. Moreover, changes in mortality
200 with age often show more complex patterns, not just monotonic increases or flat, unchanging
201 levels [39]. Generally, aging patterns can be classified using the schema in Table 2, with broad
202 representation for most patterns.

203 This finding is crucial and paradigm-shifting because it implies that there is no single,
204 universal aging pathway. At most, there might be a pathway that is shared when aging is present
205 but can be turned off. However, this would imply that aging is programmed, which does not
206 appear to be the case (see below), and does not address the distinct possibility that non-aging is
207 the default state, with aging evolving independently multiple times across the tree of life.
208 Moreover, the taxonomic distribution of aging patterns observed so far does not appear to agree
209 with predictions made based on aging as a programmed phenomenon [51]. The finding also
210 presents challenges for the Disposable Soma theory, which does not provide a way to understand
211 why some organisms with distinct somas could avoid aging, nor to understand why some
212 organisms without distinct somas would age [15].

213

214 *3.3 Programmed aging: the rare exception*

215 Most researchers studying the evolution of aging consider aging to be non-adaptive in the sense
216 that it appears to be a by-product or side effect of other biological and evolutionary processes
217 rather than an end in itself [51-53]. In this sense, aging is also seen as non-programmed: not the
218 result of a specific pathway that has evolved in order to carry out the aging process. The
219 multiplicity of mechanisms and their heterogeneity across species (see below) confirms this.
220 While most of what we call aging follows this non-programmed paradigm, there are a small
221 number of exceptions where aging is clearly programmed. For example, the colonial marine
222 invertebrate *Botryllus schlosseri* kills off its modules through programmed senescence prior to
223 replacing them [22], an excellent example of ramet but not genet aging. The catastrophic aging
224 observed in semelparous organisms such as annual plants, Pacific salmon (*Oncorhynchus* spp.),
225 and even some mammals may also be an example of programmed aging, though this is not fully
226 clear [52-55]. These examples are interesting both ecologically, and as a contrast that reinforces
227 the conclusion that most aging is non-programmed [52]. Nonetheless, their relevance for
228 understanding aging in humans specifically or in animals generally is somewhat limited,
229 precisely because most aging does not appear programmed in this way.

230

231 *3.4 Physiological aging: mechanisms*

232 Physiological aging here refers to the myriad mechanisms and processes that lead to inexorable
233 organism-level declines in functional capacity and/or health state. Overall physiological aging is
234 generally non-programmed, though some portions of it may include molecular programs that
235 exist for other purposes [51]. Physiological aging encompasses structural wear and tear (e.g.
236 insect wings, tooth wear), cellular processes, tissue and organ processes, and organism-level
237 processes. In 1990, Medvedev listed hundreds of mechanistic processes [2], and a brief survey of

238 the literature will turn up numerous semi-competing, semi-complementary theories: oxidative
239 stress, inflammation, telomere shortening, cellular senescence, mitochondrial dysfunction, and
240 accumulation of misfolded proteins, to name some of the most popular. A recent review
241 proposed nine hallmarks of aging: genomic instability, telomere attrition, epigenetic alterations,
242 loss of proteostasis, deregulated nutrient sensing, mitochondrial dysfunction, cellular senescence,
243 stem cell exhaustion, and altered intercellular communication [5], though the authors noted that
244 the choice reflected a mammal-centric perspective and that the precise list could be discussed.
245 For example, epigenetic alterations might be expected to at least partially underlie almost any of
246 the other hallmarks.

247 All of this goes to show that there is very little consensus on what the basic mechanisms of
248 aging are, or their relative importance. Personally I think an effort to identify hallmarks is
249 premature, with the proposed list excluding or simplifying many important processes (e.g.
250 inflammation), as well as giving a false sense that the hallmarks are independent. However,
251 efforts like this are useful to the extent that they demonstrate an increasing consensus that aging
252 is multifactorial. Indeed, the aging phenotype is surprisingly heterogeneous both among
253 individuals within species and across species. Taking the familiar example of humans, each
254 individual has a unique aging profile in terms of diseases, affected organ systems, molecular
255 profiles, etc. Unlike for many other biological phenomena, no single biomarker appears to have a
256 particularly strong correlation with human age, with even telomere length only correlating at $|r| <$
257 0.5 [56]. While the complex nature of the aging phenotype does not exclude the possibility of a
258 simpler upstream cause, our failure to identify such a universal cause to date makes its existence
259 seem increasingly less likely.

260 The majority of research on the biological mechanisms of aging occurs at the cellular level,
261 and there is indeed good evidence for cellular aging processes and the contribution of these
262 processes to the aging of the organism [17, 57]. Nonetheless, there is no *a priori* reason to
263 suspect that all or even most of physiological aging can be explained by cellular processes. For
264 example, the accumulation of chronic stress and related allostatic load appear to have numerous
265 consequences for the aging phenotype, but are organism-level phenomena [58]. Likewise,
266 structural wear and tear may affect the ability to forage and thereby nutritional state or other
267 aspects of the aging process [59]. Indeed, the cellular machinery of most organisms is relatively
268 similar, despite differences in lifespan approaching 1 million-fold [60].

269 Aging is thus clearly multi-factorial. It is also likely to be complex, in the formal sense that it
270 is an emergent property of a complex dynamic system. The molecular regulatory networks that
271 maintain homeostasis at levels ranging from intracellular to whole-organism are a textbook
272 example of a complex dynamic system [6]. Unlike ecological networks or climate systems,
273 biological systems are directed complex systems, in the sense that they have been shaped by
274 evolution for the express purpose of maintaining and adjusting homeostasis [61]. To achieve this,
275 they can rely only on structural properties of the regulatory network itself (redundancy, feedback
276 loops, etc.); should homeostasis be lost to the point where the network cannot correct itself, there
277 is no external “reset” mechanism (with the exception of modern medicine). Any such complex
278 system has a certain tolerance to variation in external and internal conditions, but the tolerance is
279 not infinite, and there are structural trade-offs between high tolerance to common conditions and
280 broad tolerance to rare conditions [7]. This implies that the regulatory networks are subject to
281 dysregulation, which, when system tolerance is exceeded, could manifest either as sudden death

282 or as a gradual decline in system performance where dysregulation in one part of the system
283 engenders other dysregulation elsewhere in the system [6].

284 The multiplicity of aging mechanisms, their diverse manifestations even among individuals
285 within a species, and the apparent complex interactions and feedback loops among the
286 mechanisms [62] all suggest a major challenge for the use of animal models in the context of
287 aging. On the one hand, the complexity of the phenomenon means that animal models will be
288 particularly important, while on the other hand it means that the lessons to be drawn are not
289 always straightforward, and the ecological and evolutionary context of the organism will need to
290 be carefully considered before drawing broad conclusions.

291

292 **4. Conserved aging-related signalling pathways**

293 Some readers may at this point be confused: isn't it well known that there are conserved aging-
294 related signalling pathways present in organisms ranging from yeast to mammals? And indeed
295 there are [63], most notably insulin/insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1) signalling
296 [64], mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR) signalling [65], and nicotinamide adenine
297 dinucleotide (NAD⁺)-dependent sirtuins [66]. The challenge is to reconcile these conserved
298 pathways with the diversity of demographic and physiological aging/non-aging patterns. Part of
299 the answer almost certainly stems from the ecological/evolutionary function of these pathways.
300 They appear to be related to caloric restriction and nutrient sensing, with the function of helping
301 organisms decide whether to invest in current reproduction at a potential cost to survival (when
302 resources are sufficient), or to invest in physiological maintenance/diapause at the expense of
303 reproduction, thereby helping the organism survive to reproduce another day [67]. Much as is the
304 case with conservation of eye development across the animal kingdom, the upstream control

305 mechanism has been conserved, but the downstream impacts of that control vary, allowing each
306 species to experience “private” impacts of the regulation tailored to its physiological and
307 ecological context [67].

308 Still, the challenge is larger than this. The impact of these pathways on lifespan (increases of
309 more than 100% in some model systems [64]) is notable from an intraspecific perspective, but is
310 minimal from an interspecific perspective, where lifespans can range from several days to
311 hundreds of years, without even considering all the species that do not age at all [60]. Model
312 organisms are also chosen specifically for their short lifespans, meaning that, in contrast to
313 humans, they may have substantial margin for regulatory up-regulation of lifespan. Furthermore,
314 as noted above, some aging mechanisms such as wear and tear would seem to be largely
315 independent of these pathways. Other mechanisms, such as inflammation and systemic
316 dysregulation, might be subject to some degree of modulation by the conserved pathways, but
317 would likely contribute to aging to some extent regardless of how down-regulated they were by
318 conserved pathways. In other words, conserved pathways clearly control certain aging
319 mechanisms and have the potential to affect aging rates, but it seems highly unlikely that, even
320 taken together, they represent a sufficient mechanistic explanation for aging. They also do not
321 explain why some organisms do not age.

322 Despite their relatively modest effects on individual lifespan (as viewed from a comparative
323 perspective), it is also likely that these conserved pathways play at least some role in modulating
324 lifespan over evolutionary time [68]. For example, IGF-1 appears to mediate much of the
325 variation in lifespan across dog breeds, perhaps because an IGF-1 allele affecting body size
326 (which is strongly selected for via artificial selection) also produces marked effects on lifespan
327 pleiotropically [69].

328

329 **5. An integrative understanding of variation in aging across the tree of life**

330 Combining the insights that conserved aging-related signalling pathways explain a modest but
331 non-negligible portion of the aging process, and that aging is both mechanistically and
332 demographically quite heterogeneous across the tree of life, the inescapable but rather
333 unsatisfying conclusion is that aging is highly particular: that each species will have evolved
334 aging patterns that reflect a combination of the physiological constraints imposed by its
335 evolutionary history and its current environment and selection pressures. In some taxa, this may
336 include the possibility of non-aging, and in others aging may be inevitable. When aging exists, it
337 may exist for very different reasons in different taxa.

338 While there are not necessarily any universal aging mechanisms, some mechanisms might be
339 present in a wide range of species. For example, it is possible that oxidative stress is one
340 mechanism among many in species ranging from plants [70] to yeast [71] to mice [72]. However,
341 naked mole rats (*Heterocephalus glaber*), which are very closely related to mice compared to
342 plants or yeast, have extremely long lifespans despite high levels of oxidative damage [73],
343 implying that the importance of oxidative stress as an aging mechanism can shift over relatively
344 short evolutionary timescales. Extrapolating this example, we should expect substantial
345 complexity in patterns of aging mechanisms across the tree of life. Some mechanisms may only
346 exist in one or a few closely related species that have a particular physiological vulnerability or
347 ecological situation. Some mechanisms may be broadly important within a large taxon, but not
348 outside the taxon. Some mechanisms may appear more or less frequently across the entire tree of
349 life. In most or all cases, aging will be the result not of a single mechanism but of the confluence

350 of a large number of mechanisms, in combinations that vary markedly across the tree of life and
351 even sometimes among closely related species.

352 However, this complexity is not completely intractable: clear patterns exist. For example,
353 within relatively large taxa such as birds, mammals, bats, and marsupials, there are clear
354 relationships between aging rate and factors such as body size, extrinsic mortality, and metabolic
355 rate [74-78]. This implies that aging rate is under coherent genetic control in ways that permit it
356 to respond to selection pressures. This explains variation in lifespan among dog (*Canis*
357 *familiaris*) breeds, for example: lifespan might be difficult to select on independently of factors
358 such as body size and personality [69, 79], but it nonetheless can be altered dramatically by
359 selection over relatively short evolutionary timescales. Indeed, it is likely that the conserved
360 aging-related signalling pathways discussed above play an important role in allowing selection to
361 act coherently on aging rate [68]. Such upstream mechanisms might be crucial to canalize
362 physiological complexity, which would otherwise prove intractable evolutionarily [61].

363 Nonetheless, there is also good reason to suspect that the conserved aging-related signalling
364 pathways identified so far are not the only upstream control mechanisms that selection targets to
365 adjust aging rate. First, as noted above, experimental manipulation of these pathways does not
366 seem to account for sufficient variation in lifespan. Second, there are likely to be taxon-specific
367 control mechanisms that are adapted to a taxon's ecological context. For example, in eusocial
368 insects, queens often have lifespans that are orders of magnitude longer than workers [80].
369 Control of lifespan across castes in these species appears to be at least partially regulated by
370 normal insect hormones (vitellogenin and juvenile hormone) that have been somewhat
371 repurposed with altered roles in this context [81]. Third, control mechanisms can be emergent
372 properties of the underlying regulatory network such that they are hard to trace to a single

373 molecule or pathway. Although this has yet to be demonstrated for evolutionarily conserved
374 control mechanisms, it appears to be the case for variation in some aging mechanisms within
375 individuals [82], and similar principles are likely to apply for evolutionary control.

376

377 **6. Implications for the use of model organisms in aging**

378 The integrative understanding of aging presented above implies on the one hand that a diversity
379 of model organisms will be essential to achieve a full understanding of the aging process, and on
380 the other that extreme caution will be needed in their interpretation. For example, the finding that
381 insulin/IGF signalling is important in yeast, nematodes, fruit flies, and mice has been interpreted
382 as proof of the (near) universality of this aging-related signalling pathway, but this is
383 contradicted by the absence of aging in many species nested within the clade containing these
384 conserved pathways (hydra, some turtles, some fish, etc.). Of course, it is possible that the trait of
385 aging was lost repeatedly, but there is no current evolutionary explanation for why this would
386 happen in this particular pattern. Here I present several approaches to the use of model organisms
387 in aging research based on the above perspective. Many of my suggestions have been previously
388 discussed by Steve Austad, perhaps the foremost champion of the use of unusual animal models
389 in aging research [60, 76, 83-90].

390

391 *6.1 Identification of unique anti-aging strategies in long-lived species*

392 Some species are exceptionally long-lived, either in general or for their taxonomic group, and
393 this implies that they may have evolved specific mechanisms to avoid aging. Understanding
394 these mechanisms might generate potential benefits for human health, and might help understand
395 the ecological, evolutionary, and physiological context of extreme lifespans. For example, the

396 longest-lived known non-colonial animal is a small, coldwater clam, *Arctica islandica*. Its record
397 lifespan is 507 years, and it demonstrates a number of unique features, including marked stress
398 resistance and proteostasis throughout its lifespan [91-93]. Understanding the basis of this
399 proteostasis might provide avenues for the prevention or treatment of Alzheimer's disease.
400 Similarly, the naked mole-rat is the only eusocial mammal, with a social system like many ants,
401 bees, and wasps. As in eusocial insects, the queens have much longer lifespans than the workers,
402 living for 30+ years. Surprisingly, despite their long lifespan, naked mole-rats accumulate
403 oxidative damage at rates similar to mice and have rather poor oxidative defence systems [73].
404 Rather, it seems they have evolved mechanisms to tolerate high levels of macromolecular
405 damage, such as increased proteasome activity [94].

406 These are important, fascinating findings, but again we must be cautious about their
407 interpretation, given what is known about the complexity of aging. Other, undiscovered aging
408 mechanisms may also be involved in determining the extreme lifespans of these species, and it is
409 not clear that interventions designed to replicate these mechanisms in humans would have the
410 desired effect without replicating the entire physiological context of these organisms more
411 broadly. Long lifespan likely requires evolution of mechanisms to mitigate many potential
412 underlying processes. It will also be important to develop a large number of such model
413 organisms to understand the diversity of strategies that might be adopted. Based on these two
414 well-known examples, it appears that each has chosen a different strategy.

415

416 *6.2 Generation of broad, comparative data*

417 Which aging mechanisms are universal within certain taxa, such as mammals? Which aging
418 mechanisms covary with lifespan across species, and which do not? How variable is

419 demographic aging within and across taxa at different levels? All of these questions and more
420 require an enormous effort to collect data in a relatively standardized or comparable way across
421 large numbers of species. It is precisely such efforts that have led to recent demographic insights.
422 A parallel effort for biological mechanisms is needed. The challenge is that data is often
423 collected by many different laboratories in ways that are not easily standardized. Curated
424 databases such as AnAge might provide an excellent vehicle to encourage such efforts [46].

425

426 *6.3 Disadvantages of standard laboratory model organisms*

427 There can be no doubt that standard laboratory model organisms – yeast, nematodes
428 (*Caenorhabditis elegans*), fruit flies (*Drosophila melanogaster*), and mice – have generated
429 major advances in our understanding of aging, including the identification of conserved
430 pathways. However, the limits of such model organisms are also more pronounced in the field of
431 aging than in many other contexts [89]. This is in large part because aging is a highly plastic
432 phenotype that depends heavily on the environment, both at the individual level in terms of how
433 it evolves. Here are several major challenges/limits of typical model organisms in a laboratory
434 context:

435 1) **Short lifespans.** Laboratory organisms are chosen because of their short generation times
436 and concomitant short lifespans. Because the pace and shape of life are not necessarily
437 linked, it should be possible to choose short-lived non-aging species as model organisms
438 (e.g. hydra). Nonetheless, all the standard model organisms have both short lifespans and
439 fast aging. Just as there might be some shared biological features of long-lived organisms
440 across very different taxa, there may also be some shared features of fast-aging organisms
441 that make them more similar to each other than to other members of their respective taxa

442 [89]. There may also be particularities of their aging processes. For example, telomerase
443 is not expressed in human somatic tissues, but is expressed in mouse tissues. Though this
444 appears to be attributable to body size differences more than lifespan [9], it still suggests
445 that the aging process in mice may differ from that in many other mammals in important
446 ways. One crucial impact of the use of fast-aging models is that they may have more
447 room to express increases in lifespan. For example, if evolution has modulated insulin
448 signalling pathways to generate short lifespans in mice and long lifespans in humans
449 (within the basic constraints of mammalian physiology), it is possible that even though
450 manipulation of this pathway markedly extends lifespan in mice [95], it might have little
451 to no effect in humans, which may have already maxed out the potential of this pathway
452 in order to generate their current life histories.

453 2) **Genetic homogeneity** [96]. Laboratory animals, particularly mice, are often highly
454 inbred, for the good reason that this minimizes the impact of genetic variation on results.
455 However, it also creates problems for research on aging. Results are based on a single
456 genotype that may have many particularities, and thus results may not be generalizable.
457 The inbreeding process itself may create unexpected forms of selection, and near-
458 universal homozygosity may have important biological consequences.

459 3) **Selection for laboratory survival and reproduction.** All environments create selective
460 pressures, including the laboratory environment. Long-lived mutants that have been
461 identified in lab populations only rescue the phenotype of wild populations [97]. There
462 may be behavioural and physiological adaptations [96], both of which may impact
463 lifespan and aging [79]. Also, relaxation of selective pressures present in the wild may
464 cause important changes relative to the wild phenotype. For example, the primary cause

465 of mortality in wild mice is cold exposure (i.e., insufficient thermogenesis) [98], and
466 pathogen exposure is presumably another main cause, meaning selection will be very
467 strong. Neither cold exposure nor pathogens is a major cause of laboratory mortality,
468 implying that laboratory mice could differ substantially from their wild counterparts in
469 terms of immune function and energy metabolism, both known to be important in aging.

470 4) **Lack of ecological context.** Organisms are adapted for their particular ecological niches,
471 and taking them out of this context can produce major impacts on their demography and
472 physiology. For example, a mutation that increases longevity without apparent costs to
473 reproduction in a lab may or may not have a similar effect in the wild: the mutation might
474 increase susceptibility to pathogens, might decrease real-world reproductive success (e.g.
475 obtaining a mate in a competitive environment), or might even accelerate physiological
476 aging under realistic conditions such as variable nutrition and/or high pathogen exposure.

477 Of course, none of these limitations is a reason to abandon or disparage studies of model
478 organisms; rather, they are factors to consider both in the planning and interpretation of such
479 studies, and they are reasons to complement research on model organisms with other research
480 from a broad comparative perspective [89].

481

482 7. Conclusions

483 Aging remains one of the most complex known biological processes. While it is still poorly
484 understood, there is now clear evidence that aging is multi-factorial, probably formally complex,
485 and varies across the tree of life not just in the specifics of its mechanisms but in whether or not
486 it exists in anything like the form we know it as humans. For these reasons, the choice and use of
487 model organisms is particularly challenging. Familiar model organisms – yeast, nematodes, fruit

488 flies, and mice – will continue to have important roles, but a wide variety of other organisms will
489 also be needed in specific contexts. It will be important to study very slow-aging and long-lived
490 organisms to understand their particularities, and to study broad comparative patterns. Aging
491 appears to be heavily dependent on evolutionary history, a species' ecological context, and an
492 organism's particular environment, and results must therefore be interpreted with caution and
493 always in a comparative context. The mysteries of aging will only be unravelled through
494 continued use of a multi-pronged strategy including standard laboratory models, unusual
495 laboratory models, wild models, and comparative physiological and demographic data.

496

497 **Acknowledgements**

498 We thank O.R. Jones and A. Scheuerlein for comments on the manuscript. A.A.C. is a member
499 of the *Fonds de recherche du Québec-santé* (FRQ-S)-supported *Centre de recherche sur le*
500 *vieillessement* and *Centre de recherche du CHUS*, and a funded New Investigator with the
501 Canadian Institutes of Health Research. This project was supported by the National Science and
502 Engineering Research Council Discovery [grant number 402079-2011] and by the Canadian
503 Institutes of Health Research [grant number 119485].

504

505 **References**

- 506 [1] E.G. Barron, J.A. Muntz, B. Gasvoda, Regulatory mechanisms of cellular respiration, *The Journal of*
507 *General Physiology*, 32 (1948) 163-178.
508 [2] Z.A. Medvedev, An attempt at a rational classification of theories of ageing, *Biological Reviews*, 65
509 (1990) 375-398.
510 [3] R.P. Shefferson, O.R. Jones, R. Salguero-Gomez, *The Evolution of Senescence in the Tree of Life*, in,
511 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2017.
512 [4] T.B.L. Kirkwood, Understanding the Odd Science of Aging, *Cell*, 120 (2005) 437-447.
513 [5] C. López-Otín, M.A. Blasco, L. Partridge, M. Serrano, G. Kroemer, The hallmarks of aging, *Cell*, 153
514 (2013) 1194-1217.
515 [6] A.A. Cohen, Complex systems dynamics in aging: new evidence, continuing questions,
516 *Biogerontology*, 17 (2016) 205-220.

517 [7] A. Kriete, Robustness and aging—a systems-level perspective, *Biosystems*, 112 (2013) 37-48.
518 [8] L. Guarente, C. Kenyon, Genetic pathways that regulate ageing in model organisms, *Nature*, 408
519 (2000) 255-262.
520 [9] V. Gorbunova, M. Bozzella, A. Seluanov, Rodents for comparative aging studies: from mice to
521 beavers, *AGE*, 30 (2008) 111-119.
522 [10] M.F. Haussmann, D.W. Winkler, K.M. O'Reilly, C.E. Huntington, I.C.T. Nisbet, C.M. Vleck,
523 Telomeres shorten more slowly in long-lived birds and mammals than in short-lived ones, *Proceedings of*
524 *the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 270 (2003) 1387-1392.
525 [11] A. Weismann, *The germ-plasm: a theory of heredity*, Scribner's, 1893.
526 [12] T.B.L. Kirkwood, Evolution of ageing, *Nature*, 270 (1977) 301-304.
527 [13] K. Seipel, N. Yanze, V. Schmid, The germ line and somatic stem cell gene Cniwi in the jellyfish
528 *Podocoryne carnea*, *International Journal of Developmental Biology*, 48 (2003) 1-7.
529 [14] J.M. Watson, A. Platzer, A. Kazda, S. Akimcheva, S. Valuchova, V. Nizhynska, M. Nordborg, K.
530 Riha, Germline replications and somatic mutation accumulation are independent of vegetative life span in
531 *Arabidopsis*, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, (2016) 201609686.
532 [15] A.A. Cohen, Taxonomic Diversity, Complexity and the Evolution of Senescence, in: R.P. Shefferson,
533 O.R. Jones, R. Salguero-Gomez (Eds.) *The Evolution of Senescence in the Tree of Life*, Cambridge
534 University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2017, pp. 83-101.
535 [16] J.P. Dahlgren, F. Colchero, O.R. Jones, D.-I. Øien, A. Moen, N. Sletvold, Actuarial senescence in a
536 long-lived orchid challenges our current understanding of ageing, in: *Proc. R. Soc. B*, vol. 283, The
537 Royal Society, 2016, pp. 20161217.
538 [17] J. Campisi, F.d.A. di Fagagna, Cellular senescence: when bad things happen to good cells, *Nature*
539 *reviews Molecular cell biology*, 8 (2007) 729-740.
540 [18] O. Rueppell, *Aging of social insects, Organization of insect societies*. Harvard University Press,
541 Cambridge, (2009) 51-73.
542 [19] R. Schaible, F. Ringelhan, B.H. Kramer, A. Scheuerlein, Hydra: Evolutionary and Biological
543 Mechanisms for Non-Senescence, in: R.P. Shefferson, O.R. Jones, R. Salguero-Gomez (Eds.) *The*
544 *Evolution of Senescence in the Tree of Life*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2017, pp. 238-
545 253.
546 [20] U.K. Steiner, A. Lenart, M. Ni, P. Chen, X. Song, F. Taddei, A. Lindner, J. Vaupel, Two stochastic
547 processes shape diverse senescence patterns in a single-cell organism, *bioRxiv*, (2017) 105387.
548 [21] E.J. Stewart, R. Madden, G. Paul, F. Taddei, Aging and death in an organism that reproduces by
549 morphologically symmetric division, *PLoS Biol*, 3 (2005) e45.
550 [22] B. Rinkevich, Senescence in Modular Animals: Botryllid Ascidians as a Unique Ageing System, in:
551 R.P. Shefferson, O.R. Jones, R. Salguero-Gomez (Eds.) *The Evolution of Senescence in the Tree of Life*,
552 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2017, pp. 220-237.
553 [23] M.F.P.M. Maas, A.J.M. Debets, B.J. Zwaan, A.D.v. Diepeningen, Why Some Fungi Senesce and
554 Others Do Not: An Evolutionary Perspective on Fungal Senescence, in: R.P. Shefferson, O.R. Jones, R.
555 Salguero-Gomez (Eds.) *The Evolution of Senescence in the Tree of Life*, Cambridge University Press,
556 Cambridge, UK, 2017, pp. 341-361.
557 [24] J.P. Dahlgren, D.A. Roach, Demographic Senescence in Herbaceous Plants, in: R.P. Shefferson,
558 O.R. Jones, R. Salguero-Gomez (Eds.) *The Evolution of Senescence in the Tree of Life*, Cambridge
559 University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2017, pp. 303-319.
560 [25] J. DeWoody, C.A. Rowe, V.D. Hipkins, K.E. Mock, "Pando" lives: molecular genetic evidence of a
561 giant aspen clone in central Utah, *Western North American Naturalist*, 68 (2008) 493-497.
562 [26] A.A. Cohen, Female post-reproductive lifespan: a general mammalian trait, *Biological Reviews*, 79
563 (2004) 733-750.
564 [27] D.A. Levitis, O. Burger, L.B. Lackey, The human post-fertile lifespan in comparative evolutionary
565 context, *Evolutionary Anthropology: Issues, News, and Reviews*, 22 (2013) 66-79.
566 [28] A. Baudisch, The pace and shape of ageing, *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 2 (2011) 375-382.

567 [29] M. Mencuccini, J. Martínez-Vilalta, D. Vanderklein, H.A. Hamid, E. Korakaki, S. Lee, B. Michiels,
568 Size-mediated ageing reduces vigour in trees, *Ecology Letters*, 8 (2005) 1183-1190.

569 [30] M. Mencuccini, S. Munné-Bosch, Physiological and Biochemical Processes Related to Ageing and
570 Senescence in Plants, in: R.P. Shefferson, O.R. Jones, R. Salguero-Gomez (Eds.) *The Evolution of*
571 *Senescence in the Tree of Life*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2017, pp. 257-283.

572 [31] H. Caswell, R. Salguero-Gómez, Age, stage and senescence in plants, *Journal of Ecology*, 101
573 (2013) 585-595.

574 [32] J.W. Vaupel, A. Baudisch, M. Dölling, D.A. Roach, J. Gampe, The case for negative senescence,
575 *Theoretical Population Biology*, 65 (2004) 339-351.

576 [33] W.D. Hamilton, The moulding of senescence by natural selection, *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 12
577 (1966) 12-45.

578 [34] A.J. Bellantuono, D. Bridge, D.E. Martínez, Hydra as a tractable, long-lived model system for
579 senescence, *Invertebrate reproduction & development*, 59 (2015) 39-44.

580 [35] P.D. Williams, T. Day, Antagonistic pleiotropy, mortality source interactions, and the evolutionary
581 theory of senescence, *Evolution*, 57 (2003) 1478-1488.

582 [36] A. Furness, D. Reznick, The Evolution of Senescence in Nature, in: R.P. Shefferson, O.R. Jones, R.
583 Salguero-Gomez (Eds.) *The Evolution of Senescence in the Tree of Life*, Cambridge University Press,
584 Cambridge, UK, 2017, pp. 175-197.

585 [37] J.D. Congdon, R.D. Nagle, O.M. Kinney, R.C. van Loben Sels, T. Quinter, D.W. Tinkle, Testing
586 hypotheses of aging in long-lived painted turtles (*Chrysemys picta*), *Experimental Gerontology*, 38
587 (2003) 765-772.

588 [38] D.A. Warner, D.A. Miller, A.M. Bronikowski, F.J. Janzen, Decades of field data reveal that turtles
589 senesce in the wild, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, (2016) 201600035.

590 [39] O.R. Jones, A. Scheuerlein, R. Salguero-Gomez, C.G. Camarda, R. Schaible, B.B. Casper, J.P.
591 Dahlgren, J. Ehrlen, M.B. Garcia, E.S. Menges, P.F. Quintana-Ascencio, H. Caswell, A. Baudisch, J.W.
592 Vaupel, Diversity of ageing across the tree of life, *Nature*, 505 (2014) 169-173.

593 [40] R. Salguero-Gómez, O.R. Jones, C.R. Archer, C. Bein, H. Buhr, C. Farack, F. Gottschalk, A.
594 Hartmann, A. Henning, G. Hoppe, COMADRE: a global data base of animal demography, *Journal of*
595 *Animal Ecology*, 85 (2016) 371-384.

596 [41] R. Salguero-Gómez, O.R. Jones, C.R. Archer, Y.M. Buckley, J. Che-Castaldo, H. Caswell, D.
597 Hodgson, A. Scheuerlein, D.A. Conde, E. Brinks, The COMPADRE Plant Matrix Database: an open
598 online repository for plant demography, *Journal of Ecology*, 103 (2015) 202-218.

599 [42] A. Baudisch, Hamilton's indicators of the force of selection, *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
600 *Sciences, USA*, 102 (2005) 8263-8268.

601 [43] M.J. Wensink, T.F. Wrycza, A. Baudisch, No senescence despite declining selection pressure:
602 Hamilton's result in broader perspective, *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 347 (2014) 176-181.

603 [44] A. Baudisch, R. Salguero-Gómez, O.R. Jones, T. Wrycza, C. Mbeau-Ache, M. Franco, F. Colchero,
604 The pace and shape of senescence in angiosperms, *Journal of Ecology*, 101 (2013) 596-606.

605 [45] M. Wensink, Age-specificity and the evolution of senescence: a discussion, *Biogerontology*, 14
606 (2013) 99-105.

607 [46] J. De Magalhaes, J. Costa, A database of vertebrate longevity records and their relation to other
608 life-history traits, *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 22 (2009) 1770-1774.

609 [47] C.E. Finch, *Longevity, Senescence, and the Genome*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1990.

610 [48] J.-M. Gaillard, M. Garratt, J.-F. Lemaître, Senescence in Mammalian Life History Traits, in: R.P.
611 Shefferson, O.R. Jones, R. Salguero-Gomez (Eds.) *The Evolution of Senescence in the Tree of Life*,
612 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2017, pp. 126-155.

613 [49] S. Bouwhuis, O. Vedder, Avian Escape Artists? Patterns, Processes and Costs of Senescence in Wild
614 Birds, in: R.P. Shefferson, O.R. Jones, R. Salguero-Gomez (Eds.) *The Evolution of Senescence in the*
615 *Tree of Life*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2017, pp. 156-174.

616 [50] D.N. Reznick, M.J. Bryant, D. Roff, C.K. Ghalambor, D.E. Ghalambor, Effect of extrinsic mortality
617 on the evolution of senescence in guppies, *Nature*, 431 (2004) 1095-1099.

618 [51] A.A. Cohen, Physiological and comparative evidence fails to confirm an adaptive role for aging in
619 evolution, *Current Aging Science*, 8 (2015) 14-23.

620 [52] S.N. Austad, Is aging programmed?, *Aging Cell*, 3 (2004) 249-251.

621 [53] Thomas B.L. Kirkwood, S. Melov, On the Programmed/Non-Programmed Nature of Ageing within
622 the Life History, *Current Biology*, 21 (2011) R701-R707.

623 [54] L.T. Burghardt, C.J.E. Metcalf, The Evolution of Senescence in Annual Plants: The Importance of
624 Phenology and the Potential for Plasticity, in: R.P. Shefferson, O.R. Jones, R. Salguero-Gomez (Eds.) *The*
625 *Evolution of Senescence in the Tree of Life*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2017, pp. 284-
626 302.

627 [55] D.O. Fisher, C.R. Dickman, M.E. Jones, S.P. Blomberg, Sperm competition drives the evolution of
628 suicidal reproduction in mammals, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 110 (2013) 17910-
629 17914.

630 [56] A. Benetos, K. Okuda, M. Lajemi, M. Kimura, F. Thomas, J. Skurnick, C. Labat, K. Bean, A. Aviv,
631 Telomere length as an indicator of biological aging, *Hypertension*, 37 (2001) 381-385.

632 [57] D.J. Baker, T. Wijshake, T. Tchkonja, N.K. LeBrasseur, B.G. Childs, B. Van De Sluis, J.L. Kirkland,
633 J.M. van Deursen, Clearance of p16Ink4a-positive senescent cells delays ageing-associated disorders,
634 *Nature*, 479 (2011) 232-236.

635 [58] T.L. Gruenewald, T.E. Seeman, A.S. Karlamangla, C.A. Sarkisian, Allostatic Load and Frailty in
636 Older Adults, *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 57 (2009) 1525-1531.

637 [59] A. Higginson, C. Barnard, Accumulating wing damage affects foraging decisions in honeybees (*Apis*
638 *mellifera* L.), *Ecological Entomology*, 29 (2004) 52-59.

639 [60] C.E. Finch, S.N. Austad, History and prospects: symposium on organisms with slow aging,
640 *Experimental Gerontology*, 36 (2001) 593-597.

641 [61] A.A. Cohen, L.B. Martin, J.C. Wingfield, S.R. McWilliams, J.A. Dunne, Physiological regulatory
642 networks: ecological roles and evolutionary constraints, *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 27 (2012) 428-
643 435.

644 [62] A. Kowald, T.B.L. Kirkwood, A network theory of ageing: the interactions of defective mitochondria,
645 aberrant proteins, free radicals and scavengers in the ageing process, *Mutation Research/DNAging*, 316
646 (1996) 209-236.

647 [63] S.-i. Imai, The NAD World 2.0: the importance of the inter-tissue communication mediated by
648 NAMPT/NAD⁺/SIRT1 in mammalian aging and longevity control, *npj Systems Biology and*
649 *Applications*, 2 (2016) 16018.

650 [64] C.J. Kenyon, The genetics of ageing, *Nature*, 464 (2010) 504-512.

651 [65] M.N. Stanfel, L.S. Shamieh, M. Kaeberlein, B.K. Kennedy, The TOR pathway comes of age,
652 *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-General Subjects*, 1790 (2009) 1067-1074.

653 [66] S.-i. Imai, L. Guarente, NAD⁺ and sirtuins in aging and disease, *Trends in cell biology*, 24 (2014)
654 464-471.

655 [67] L. Partridge, D. Gems, Mechanisms of aging: public or private?, *Nat Rev Genet*, 3 (2002) 165-175.

656 [68] B. Dantzer, E.M. Swanson, Mediation of vertebrate life histories via insulin-like growth factor-1,
657 *Biological Reviews*, 87 (2012) 414-429.

658 [69] N.B. Sutter, C.D. Bustamante, K. Chase, M.M. Gray, K. Zhao, L. Zhu, B. Padhukasahasram, E.
659 Karlins, S. Davis, P.G. Jones, A single IGF1 allele is a major determinant of small size in dogs, *Science*,
660 316 (2007) 112-115.

661 [70] M. Morales, S. Munné-Bosch, Oxidative Stress: A Master Regulator of Plant Trade-Offs?, *Trends in*
662 *Plant Science*, 21 (2016) 996-999.

663 [71] V. Lutchman, Y. Medkour, E. Samson, A. Arlia-Ciommo, P. Dakik, B. Cortes, R. Feldman, S.
664 Mohtashami, M. McAuley, M. Chancharoen, Discovery of plant extracts that greatly delay yeast
665 chronological aging and have different effects on longevity-defining cellular processes, *Oncotarget*, 7
666 (2016) 16542.

667 [72] Y. Zhang, A. Unnikrishnan, S.S. Deepa, Y. Liu, Y. Li, Y. Ikeno, D. Sosnowska, H. Van Remmen, A.
668 Richardson, A new role for oxidative stress in aging: The accelerated aging phenotype in *Sod1*^{-/-} mice is
669 correlated to increased cellular senescence, *Redox Biology*, 11 (2017) 30-37.

670 [73] B. Andziak, T.P. O'Connor, W. Qi, E.M. DeWaal, A. Pierce, A.R. Chaudhuri, H. Van Remmen, R.
671 Buffenstein, High oxidative damage levels in the longest-living rodent, the naked mole-rat, *Aging Cell*, 5
672 (2006) 463-471.

673 [74] S.N. Austad, K.E. Fischer, Mammalian aging, metabolism, and ecology: evidence from the bats and
674 marsupials, *Journal of Gerontology: Biological Sciences*, 46 (1991) B47-B53.

675 [75] S.N. Austad, K.E. Fischer, Primate longevity: Its place in the mammalian scheme, *American Journal*
676 *of Primatology*, 28 (1992) 251-261.

677 [76] D.J. Holmes, S.N. Austad, Birds as animal models for the comparative biology of aging: a
678 prospectus, *Journal of Gerontology: Biological Sciences*, 50A (1995) B59-B66.

679 [77] R.E. Ricklefs, Evolutionary theories of aging: confirmation of a fundamental prediction, with
680 implications for the genetic basis and evolution of life span, *American Naturalist*, 152 (1998) 24-44.

681 [78] J.o.P. De Magalhães, J. Costa, G.M. Church, An analysis of the relationship between metabolism,
682 developmental schedules, and longevity using phylogenetic independent contrasts, *The Journals of*
683 *Gerontology Series A: Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences*, 62 (2007) 149-160.

684 [79] V. Careau, D. Réale, M.M. Humphries, Donald W. Thomas, The Pace of Life under Artificial
685 Selection: Personality, Energy Expenditure, and Longevity Are Correlated in Domestic Dogs, *The*
686 *American Naturalist*, 175 (2010) 753-758.

687 [80] L. Keller, M. Genoud, Extraordinary lifespans in ants: a test of evolutionary theories of ageing,
688 *Nature*, 389 (1997) 958-960.

689 [81] E. Lucas, L. Keller, Explaining Extraordinary Life Spans: The Proximate and Ultimate Causes of
690 Differential Life Span in Social Insects, in: R.P. Shefferson, O.R. Jones, R. Salguero-Gomez (Eds.) *The*
691 *Evolution of Senescence in the Tree of Life*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2017, pp. 198-
692 219.

693 [82] A.A. Cohen, E. Milot, Q. Li, P. Bergeron, R. Poirier, F. Dusseault-Bélangier, T. Fülöp, M. Leroux, V.
694 Legault, E.J. Metter, Detection of a Novel, Integrative Aging Process Suggests Complex Physiological
695 Integration, *PloS One*, 10 (2015) e0116489.

696 [83] S. Austad, Methusaleh's Zoo: how nature provides us with clues for extending human health span,
697 *Journal of comparative pathology*, 142 (2010) S10-S21.

698 [84] S.N. Austad, The comparative perspective and choice of animal models in aging research, *Aging-*
699 *Clinical & Experimental Research*, 5 (1993) 259-267.

700 [85] S.N. Austad, The uses of intraspecific variation in aging research, *Experimental Gerontology*, 31
701 (1996) 453-463.

702 [86] S.N. Austad, Animal models of aging (worms to birds), *Generations*, 24 (2000) 25-30.

703 [87] S.N. Austad, Comparative Biology of Aging, *The Journals of Gerontology Series A: Biological*
704 *Sciences and Medical Sciences*, 64A (2009) 199-201.

705 [88] S.N. Austad, Is there a role for new invertebrate models for aging research?, *The Journals of*
706 *Gerontology Series A: Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences*, (2009) gln059.

707 [89] S.N. Austad, A. Podlutzky, A critical evaluation of nonmammalian models for aging research,
708 *Handbook of the Biology of Aging*, 6 (2006).

709 [90] R.L. Sprott, S. Austad, Animal models for aging research, *Handbook of the Biology of Aging*, 4
710 (1996) 3-23.

711 [91] H. Gruber, W. Wessels, P. Boynton, J. Xu, S. Wohlgemuth, C. Leeuwenburgh, W. Qi, S.N. Austad,
712 R. Schaible, E.E. Philipp, Age-related cellular changes in the long-lived bivalve *A. islandica*, *AGE*, 37
713 (2015) 90.

714 [92] S. Treaster, I. Ridgway, C. Richardson, M. Gaspar, A. Chaudhuri, S. Austad, Superior proteome
715 stability in the longest lived animal, *Age*, 36 (2014) 1009-1017.

716 [93] D. Munro, P.U. Blier, The extreme longevity of *Arctica islandica* is associated with increased
717 peroxidation resistance in mitochondrial membranes, *Aging Cell*, 11 (2012) 845-855.

- 718 [94] V.I. Pérez, R. Buffenstein, V. Masamsetti, S. Leonard, A.B. Salmon, J. Mele, B. Andziak, T. Yang,
719 Y. Edrey, B. Friguét, Protein stability and resistance to oxidative stress are determinants of longevity in
720 the longest-living rodent, the naked mole-rat, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106
721 (2009) 3059-3064.
- 722 [95] M. Holzenberger, J. Dupont, B. Ducos, P. Leneuve, A. Geloën, P.C. Even, P. Cervera, Y. le Bouc,
723 IGF-1 receptor regulates lifespan and resistance to oxidative stress in mice, *Nature*, 421 (2003) 182-187.
- 724 [96] R. Miller, S. Austad, D. Burke, C. Chrisp, R. Dysko, A. Galecki, A. Jackson, V. Monnier, Exotic
725 mice as models for aging research: polemic and prospectus, *Neurobiol Aging*, 20 (1999) 217-231.
- 726 [97] C.C. Spencer, C.E. Howell, A.R. Wright, D.E. Promislow, Testing an 'aging gene' in long-lived
727 drosophila strains: increased longevity depends on sex and genetic background, *Aging Cell*, 2 (2003) 123-
728 130.
- 729 [98] R. Berry, F. Bronson, Life history and bioeconomy of the house mouse, *Biological Reviews*, 67
730 (1992) 519-550.
- 731 [99] J. Nielsen, R.B. Hedeholm, J. Heinemeier, P.G. Bushnell, J.S. Christiansen, J. Olsen, C.B. Ramsey,
732 R.W. Brill, M. Simon, K.F. Steffensen, J.F. Steffensen, Eye lens radiocarbon reveals centuries of
733 longevity in the Greenland shark (*Somniosus microcephalus*), *Science*, 353 (2016) 702-704.
- 734 [100] R. Buffenstein, Negligible senescence in the longest living rodent, the naked mole-rat: insights from
735 a successfully aging species, *Journal of Comparative Physiology B*, 178 (2008) 439-445.
- 736 [101] J.o.P. De Magalhães, Species selection in comparative studies of aging and antiaging research,
737 *Handbook of Models for Human Aging*, (2006) 9-20.
- 738 [102] M.B. Blanco, L.R. Godfrey, Does Hibernation Slow the “Pace of Life” in Dwarf Lemurs
739 (*Cheirogaleus spp.*)?, *International Journal of Primatology*, 34 (2013) 130-147.
- 740 [103] M. Perret, Change in Photoperiodic Cycle Affects Life Span in a Prosimian Primate (*Microcebus*
741 *murinus*), *Journal of biological rhythms*, 12 (1997) 136-145.

742
743

744 **Figure legends**

745 **Figure 1.** Relative mortality (red) and fertility (blue) as functions of age, from maturity to the
746 age when only 5% of the adult population is still alive; mortality and fertility are scaled relative
747 to their means. Subplots are arranged in order of decreasing relative mortality at the terminal age.
748 Survivorship (on a log scale) from maturity is depicted by the shaded areas. Broken lines, for
749 trajectories derived from projection matrices, start at the age when cohorts have converged to
750 within 5% of their quasi-stationary distribution. Reprinted by permission from Macmillan
751 Publishers Ltd: Nature Publishing Group (Jones, O.R. *et al.*, *Nature* 505, 169–173), copyright
752 (2013).

Table 1: Notable non-model animals in aging research

Common name	Scientific name	Taxon	Maximum lifespan	Reason
Hydra	<i>Hydra magnipapillata</i>	Cnidarians	None	Best example of a non-aging species [19]
Sea squirt (one kind)	<i>Botryllus schlosseri</i>	Tunicates	None	Programmed aging ramets and non-aging genets [22]
American lobster	<i>Homarus americanus</i>	Arthropods	100	Longest-lived arthropod [46]
Black garden ant	<i>Lasius niger</i>	Arthropods	29 (queen)	Eusocial, extreme queen lifespan [81]
Honeybees	<i>Apis mellifera</i>	Hymenoptera	8 (queen)	Eusocial, well-characterized [46]
Ocean quahog clam	<i>Arctica islandica</i>	Molluscs	507	Longest-lived non-colonial animal [91, 93]
Red sea urchin	<i>Strongylocentrotus franciscanus</i>	Echinoderms	200	Extreme lifespan for its size [46]
Greenland shark	<i>Somniosus microcephalus</i>	Chondrichthyes	500	Longest lived fish [99]
Lake sturgeon	<i>Acipenser fulvescens</i>	Actinopterygii	152	Long lifespan [46]
Rougeye rockfish	<i>Sebastes aleutianus</i>	Actinopterygii	205	Long lifespan [46]
Olm	<i>Proteus anguinus</i>	Amphibia	102	Longest-lived amphibian [46]
Japanese giant salamander	<i>Andrias japonicus</i>	Amphibia	55	Long lifespan [46]
African bullfrog	<i>Pyxicephalus adspersus</i>	Amphibia	45	Long lifespan [46]
Galapagos tortoise	<i>Geochelone nigra</i>	Reptiles	177	Longest-lived reptile [46]
Eastern box turtle	<i>Terrapene carolina</i>	Reptiles	138	Extreme lifespan and manageable in captivity [46]
Tuatara	<i>Sphenodon punctatus</i>	Reptiles	90	Long lifespan [46]
American alligator	<i>Alligator mississippiensis</i>	Reptiles	73	Long lifespan [46]
Broad-tailed hummingbird	<i>Selasphorus platycercus</i>	Birds	14	Extreme lifespan for its mass and metabolic rate [46]
Pink cockatoo	<i>Cacatua leadbeateri</i>	Birds	83	Long lifespan [46]
Andean condor	<i>Vultur gryphus</i>	Birds	79	Long lifespan [46]
Royal albatross	<i>Diomedea epomophora</i>	Birds	58	Long lifespan [46]
Japanese quail	<i>Coturnix japonica</i>	Birds	6	CJ is one of the shortest lived birds; marked lifespan difference for congeners [46]
Common quail	<i>Coturnix coturnix</i>	Birds	14.6	
Naked mole-rat	<i>Heterocephalus glaber</i>	Rodents	31	Exceptional rodent lifespan, eusocial [100]
American beaver	<i>Castor canadensis</i>	Rodents	23.4	Contrast with mouse [46]

North American Porcupine	<i>Erethizon dorsatus</i>	Rodents	23.4	Contrast with mouse [9, 101]
Little brown bat	<i>Myotis lucifugus</i>	Chiroptera	34	Contrast with each other; LBB exceptionnaly long-lived for its mass and metabolic rate [87]
Evening bat	<i>Nycticeius humeralis</i>	Chiroptera	6	
Bowhead whale	<i>Balaena mysticetus</i>	Cetaceans	211	Longest-lived mammal [46]
Killer whale	<i>Orcinus orca</i>	Cetaceans	90	Very long-lived; highly social with menopause analog [46]
Common marmoset	<i>Callithrix jacchus</i>	Primates	16	Short-lived for a primate [87]
Fat-tailed dwarf lemur	<i>Cheirogaleus medius</i>	Primates	23	Hibernation in a primate [101, 102]
Gray mouse lemur	<i>Microcebus murinus</i>	Primates	18	Photoperiod/torpor effects; model of brain aging [101, 103]

754 This table compiles both species with exceptional potential for aging studies as well as species notable for their longevity within their group. Most

755 records are based on the AnAge database [46], and the taxonomic bias of this resource should be noted: there are records for 4212 species of

756 vertebrate, but only 8 species of arthropod, even though most species on earth are arthropods. This reflects a paucity of high-quality data on these

757 other taxa. The list is intended to be illustrative rather than exhaustive.

Table 2. Common aging profiles found across the tree of life

Profile	Characteristics	Examples
Hamiltonian aging	More-or-less exponential increase in mortality after age at first reproduction timed to coincide with the decreasing force of selection with age; expected concordance between demographic and physiological aging	Most mammals, most birds, garter snakes, guppies, fruit flies, nematodes, some plants
Semeparity/ catastrophic aging	A single reproductive bout followed by a rapid physiological decline and death. The physiological decline can appear programmed (e.g., hormonal cascades) but the actual degree of programming is not clear.	Pacific salmon, marsupial mice (dasyurids), mayflies, annual plants
No aging	Flat mortality rates throughout adult life	Hydra
Negligible aging	Mortality rates are roughly flat or even modestly declining throughout adult life, but are either more variable than the no-aging profile or are not long enough to be sure that eventual aging would not arise. Data series should nonetheless be long enough to ensure that mortality is low when the force of selection is very weak.	Some turtles, some amphibians, many marine invertebrates, some seaweed, some trees, some herbaceous plants
Size-based mortality patterns	Age contributes relatively little to prediction of mortality rates beyond a potential correlation with size; clear age structure in mortality may thus be present, but largely as a result of how size impacts mortality.	Many trees, many clonal organisms with distinct ramets, where size is considered the sum of the ramets (colonial marine invertebrates, etc.)
Eusocial aging	Though possibly a subset of Hamiltonian aging, lifespan differs greatly between queens and workers of eusocial species.	Naked mole-rats; many species of ants, bees, and wasps
Other	Many organisms have some combination of the above patterns, such as programmed aging of ramets and negligible aging of genets, or non-monotonic changes in mortality with age. Many organisms have aging patterns that are highly unique and adapted to their particular life histories and environment. Often ignored, this category may actually contain a large portion of the tree of life. Physiological aging may occur but be reversible, or may occur but on timescales much slower than predicted based on selection pressure, etc.	Some tunicates, some birds?, some lizards, many invertebrates and plants

759 The profiles described here are approximate and are not intended to represent a formal or exhaustive

760 classification, but rather to illustrate the diversity of aging patterns known.

